

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

Exxon Mobil Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Engine No. 1 LLC
Engine No. 1 LP
Engine No. 1 NY LLC
Christopher James
Charles Penner
Gregory J. Goff
Kaisa Hietala
Alexander Karsner
Anders Runevad

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - 5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - 3) Filing Party:
 - 4) Date Filed:

Forbes

"I realize ExxonMobil was hoping to take you away on their tour and maybe you were hoping it would give you everything you need. But now it's time to wake up and get back to reality. What can the company do to get itself out of the deep hole it's dug for itself over the years? It's actually pretty straightforward. It's not conceptually difficult but it will require a change in mindset and a big dose of humility, both no doubt hard for this company's executive team and board to swallow. Engine No. 1 has four sensible recommendations that will benefit investors, the environment, and the company itself that involve board composition, long-term strategy, capital allocation, and incentives."

April 29, 2021

Forbes

"In addition to CalSTRS, other major investors are coming out in favor of Engine No. 1's slate of directors and plan, including CalPERS, the Church of England pension fund, and the New York State Common Retirement Fund. Anne Simpson, Managing Investment Director of Board Governance & Sustainability at CalPERS, and the investor representing Climate Action 100+ in its engagement with ExxonMobil stated that, 'Investors need climate competent boards to oversee the complex and vital task of developing credible strategies for managing the risks and opportunities of climate change. That can't wait. As fiduciaries we need to ensure boards are equipped with the talent, skills and experience to get the job done.'"

April 29, 2021

Forbes

"Engine No. 1's campaign is a watershed moment in the needed energy transition. It has targeted exactly the right company to make the point. This is a moment of truth for all the asset owners and asset managers who have pledged their commitment to a net-zero world, as well as for the proxy voting firms, Glass Lewis and ISS."

April 29, 2021

Forbes

"Investors will vote their shares at the May 26 shareholder meeting. They have a choice to make. They can roll up, roll up with the company on its Magical Mystery tour. It will take them away to a place where they don't want to be. More shareholder value destruction and more carbon poisoning our world. Or they can vote for the Engine No. 1 slate. And, again, they need to vote for the entire slate in order for the board to have the critical mass it needs to put a new strategy in place."

April 29, 2021

Forbes

"Andrew Logan, Senior Director Oil & Gas at Ceres, further explained, 'Exxon is counting on carbon capture as a get-out-of-jail free card that will allow it to continue growing oil and gas production and still somehow align with a Paris-compliant trajectory. The problem is that CCS has been 15 years from commercial scale-up for the past 15 years, and this announcement doesn't do anything concrete to speed up the process. If Exxon actually believed in the potential of CCS, it would set a net zero Scope 3 target as Oxy has done. That it hasn't suggests that even Exxon doesn't believe its rhetoric on carbon capture.'"

April 29, 2021